What can be written in a shorter way?

Probably the properties to characterize the HgMn and classical magnetic Bp stars.

What needs to be better explained?

How exactly can higher S/N ratio lead to confirmation of requested abundations.

Is the figure clear and well described?

I only have a black and white copy of the proposal, so it was a bit harder, but eventually only thing I did not understand were the numbers above the figure.

Is reason for the needed observation time well explained?

I think it's well explained.

What would you do better?

I don't have any experience with writing a proposal so I don't dare to say what to do better.

Evaluation:

- **mark:** 1

- **summarize following three points:**

1) **Aims:** To get the high resolution and high S/N spectra of HD 66051 (eclipsing binary with magnetic component) using UVES. And therefore establish the peculiarity of this system.

2) **Strengths:** The presence of magnetic field is not expected in chemically peculiar stars in such close SB2 system, so it's kind of a hot topic. The competing group has quite different results.

3) **Weaknesses:** Similar proposal for observing the same object only with different instrument has already been declined by DDT committee. There are no other instruments that can provide such precision and S/N ratio of spectra.

As I said this was the first proposal I've ever seen, so maybe some of the strengths are actually the weaknesses. For example for me the fact, that the competing group got quite different results, is a reason for further exploration of the system, but I'm not sitting in the committee.